MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION Requestor Name and Address: SOUTHWESTERN PAIN INSTITUTE PO BOX 803311 DALLAS TX 75380 Respondent Name and Box #: TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO Box #: 54 Injured Employee: Employer Name: Insurance Carrier #:

PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Requestor's Position Summary: "The gasserian ganglion is also laying under the brain, in a critical location, and injection procedures at the gasserian ganglion require also a significantly higher level of training and skill than the standard in the pain specialty field. Most pain specialists are not trained to do these procedures." "For this reason, it is obvious that the reimbursement that you assigned for this procedure of \$87.58, for a procedure that was billed \$1500.00, is extremely unfair and not reasonable."

Total Amount in Dispute: \$1412.42

PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Respondent's Position Summary: "It is this carrier's position that fair and reasonable reimbursement has been allowed for the service billed under unlisted procedure code 64999, and no further payment is due."

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS							
Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Denial Code(s)	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due			
2/17/2006	64999	CAC-W10, CAC-W4, CAC-42, 426, 891, 790	\$1412.42	\$0.00			
_			Total Due:	\$0.00			

PART V: FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Tex. Lab. Code Ann. §413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, and pursuant to all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, requires that services not identified in a fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates.
- Texas Labor Code §413.011 requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control.
- 3. Division rule at 28 TAC §134.202, titled *Medical Fee Guideline*, effective August 1, 2003, sets out the reimbursement for medical treatment and services.
- 4. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307, effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, sets out the procedure for medical fee dispute resolution.
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated 3/13/2006

- CAC-W10-No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology.
- CAC-42-Charges exceed our fee schedule or maximum allowable amount.
- 426-Reimbursed to fair and reasonable.
- 790-This charge was reimbursed in accordance to the Texas medical fee guideline.

Explanation of benefits dated 6/27/2006

- CAC-W10-No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology.
- CAC-W4-No additional reimbursement allowed after review of appeal/reconsideration.
- 891-The insurance company is reducing or denying payment after reconsideration.
- 426-Reimbursed to fair and reasonable.

Issues

1. Did the requestor support position that additional reimbursement is due for CPT code 64999?

Findings

1. Division rule at 28 TAC §134.202(b) states "For coding, billing, reporting, and reimbursement of professional medical services, Texas Workers' Compensation system participants shall apply the Medicare program methodologies, models, and values or weight including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies in effect on the date a service is provided with any additions or exceptions in this section."

Division rule at 28 TAC §134.202(c)(6) states "for products and services for which CMS or the commission does not establish a relative value unit and/or a payment amount the carrier shall assign a relative value, which may be based on nationally recognized published relative value studies, published commission medical dispute decisions, and values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments." The Division finds that CPT code 64999 – "Unlisted procedure, nervous system" does not have an established relative value and the insurance carrier did not submit documentation to support that the carrier has assigned a relative value.

Division rule at 28 TAC §134.202(d) states "In all cases, reimbursement shall be the least of the: (1) MAR amount as established by this rule; (2) health care provider's usual and customary charge; or (3) health care provider's workers' compensation negotiated and/or contracted amount that applies to the billed service(s)."

Review of the documentation submitted by the parties to this dispute finds no documentation to support that an amount was pre-negotiated and/or contracted between the provider and carrier for the disputed CPT code 64999; therefore, the insurance carrier shall reimburse the provider the fair and reasonable rate in accordance with Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.

Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1 requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."

Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.

Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D) requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:

- The requestor asks to be reimbursed the full amount of the billed charges in support of which the requestor states "The gasserian ganglion is also laying under the brain, in a critical location, and injection procedures at the gasserian ganglion require also a significantly higher level of training and skill than the standard in the pain specialty field. Most pain specialists are not trained to do these procedures." "For this reason, it is obvious that the reimbursement that you assigned for this procedure of \$87.58, for a procedure that was billed \$1500.00, is extremely unfair and not reasonable."
- The requestor does not discuss or explain how additional payment of \$1412.42 would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement.

- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.
- The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.
- The requestor did not submit nationally recognized published relative value studies, published commission medical dispute decisions, or values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due for CPT code 64999. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

PART		

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031 and §413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.								
·								
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	 Date						

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 Tex. Admin. Code §148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code § 413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code §413.031.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.